

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re Patent Application of	)	<b>MAIL STOP AMENDMENT</b>
Christophe Martin et al.	)	
Application No.: 10/583,476	)	Group Art Unit: 2164
Filed: June 19, 2006	)	Examiner: Daniel A. Kuddus
For: METHOD FOR BACKING UP	)	Confirmation No.: 3680
PERSONAL DATA OF A	)	
TELECOMMUNICATIONS	)	
NETWORK SUBSCRIBER,	)	
SERVER AND ASSOCIATED	)	
DEVICE	)	

**STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW**

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Applicants thank Examiners Kuen Lu and Daniel Kuddus for conducting a telephone interview on October 6, 2009 in the subject patent application. Applicants requested the interview to clarify various outstanding issues in an attempt to reach a mutual understanding between the Examiner and Applicant's representative and in an effort to advance the prosecution of the subject Application. MPEP § 713.01(III). In particular, Applicants requested that the Examiner explain how the disclosure of *Ludwig* is being interpreted to conclude that Applicants' claims are anticipated.

During the interview, Examiner Kuddus referred to the citations provided in the Final Rejection dated July 9, 2009, but did not elucidate further. As such, the interview did little to provide the Applicant any insight into the Examiner's interpretation of the applied art and its application to the pending claims.

Respectfully submitted,

BUCHANAN INGERSOLL & ROONEY PC

Date: November 16, 2009

By: /Shawn B. Cage/  
Shawn B. Cage  
Registration No. 51522

**Customer No. 21839**  
703 836 6620